#### DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES

3:30 PM Monday - December 4, 2006

Meeting Location: The Development Review Committee will meet at the Large Conference Room on

the second floor of City Hall, at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California. Commissioners present: Chair John Hamon, Margaret Holstine, Mike Menath

Staff present: Darren Nash, Susan DeCarli

Applicants and others present: Pamela Jardini, Chris Sickelton, Charles Darrow, Randal Moos, Chris

Sickelton, Dick Willhoit, Bob Clouston, Stephen King

FILE #: Sign Program

APPLICATION: Review request for directional sign program for the River Oaks Community.

APPLICANT: Estrella Associates - Dick Willhoit LOCATION: Buena Vista Drive & River Oaks Drive

DISCUSSION: Dick Willhoit presented the sign program to the Committee. The main point of

discussion the off-site signs (Signs A & B).

ACTION: The Committee approved the sign program for all of the signs, except for signs A

& B. It does not appear that the Sign Ordinance would allow signs A&B, since they would be off-site. The Committee did request that the applicant provide

additional information on visibility and site distance.

FILE #: Sign Plan

APPLICATION: Request to install wall mounted sign, and A-frame Sign

APPLICANT: Downtown Brew/Tim Hodge

LOCATION: 1108 Pine Street

ACTION: No action was taken, it appears that staff does not have the most recent plans.

FILE #: PR 06-0124

APPLICATION: Request to subdivide R2 lot into two parcels APPLICANT: PR Real Estate Investment/Randal Moos

LOCATION: 419 15<sup>th</sup> Street

DISCUSSION: Pamela Jardini, along with Randal Moos & Charles Darrow presented the

proposed subdivision along with a conceptual site plan and conceptual elevations

for a new house on Lot 2.

ACTION: No action was taken, the Committee recommended that the Planning

Commission approve the parcel map. The applicants are to provide a revised plot

plan for the new lot that utilizes side by side parking rather than tandem.

FILE #: Site Plan 06-028

APPLICATION: Request construct a second dwelling unit

APPLICANT: Chris Sickelton LOCATION: 1314 Crown Way

DISCUSSION: Chris Sickelton presented the site plans and elevations for the proposed second

unit. The unit would be 1,200 square feet, two story unit. The unit would be

located on an existing slope.

Staff brought up concerns about the visibility of the unit from Golden Hill Road, as well as changing the character of the rear yards of this neighborhood by

constructing this unit on the slope.

Development Review Committee Meeting Agenda of October 30, 2006, Page 2

ACTION: The Committee recommended that the item be reviewed by the Planning

Commission, so that the visibility and neighborhood/back-yard views can be

discussed.

FILE #: PD 06-015/Rezone 06-003

APPLICATION: Mixed-Use project, rezone to conform to General Plan designation

APPLICANT: Sundance Enterprises/Bob Clouston

LOCATION: 1028 21<sup>st</sup> Street

DISCUSION: Staff presented an overview of the project. Mr. Clouston noted that the project is

intended to provide housing for his employees and their families. He indicated that he tried to provide an attractive, quality project similar to a project in Sonoma, but didn't want to "overbuild" in the neighborhood. He noted that it

would be well maintained, no clutter, etc.

Commissioner Holstine noted that the project was primarily residential, and that if not in MU district would not be permitted as many units. She indicated that typical MU projects include more of a balance of uses, including retail, office

and residential.

John Hamon indicated that the architectural form looks like a motel. He wanted

to know if the applicant had considered other designs.

The DRC members had general concern that the units were too large, and that although there is no specific percentage of a MU project required to be non-residential to residential, that it appeared that the office component of the project and MU aspect was being pursued to allow the maximum number of units. Given the size and maximum number of units being proposed, it seemed to restrict the creativity and livability of the project. The DRC recommended the

applicant consider alternative designs.

ACTION: The project was continued to the next DRC meeting.

Adjournment to December 11, 2006, at 3:30 PM

#### DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES

**3:00** PM Monday – December 11, 2006

Meeting Location: The Development Review Committee will meet at the Large Conference Room on

the second floor of City Hall, at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California. Commissioners present: Margaret Holstine, Mike Menath, John Hamon, Withers

Commissioners present: Margaret Hoistine, Mike Menath, John Hai

Staff present: Darren Nash, Susan DeCarli

Applicants and others present: Tim Hodge, Norma Moye,

FILE #: Sign Plan

APPLICATION: Request to install wall mounted sign, and A-frame Sign

APPLICANT: Downtown Brew/Tim Hodge

LOCATION: 1108 Pine Street

ACTION: The Committee approved the A-frame sign, the carved wood wall mounted sign

and the use of the pennants on a temporary basis as discussed in the Sign

Ordinance for banners.

FILE #: Sign/Awning plan

APPLICATION: Request to install new canvas awning with signage.

APPLICANT: Yunagi's Sushi LOCATION: 1221 Park Street

DISCUSSION: Staff presented the plans for the canvas awning with signage on the awning.

ACTION: The Committee approved the plans as submitted.

FILE #: PD 05-019

APPLICATION: Request to construct new commercial/office building

APPLICANT: Theatre Drive Partners (Pankey)

LOCATION: 1446 Spring Street

DISCUSSION: Staff presented the revised plans which eliminated the basement and the third

floor. The reduction in square footage is in relation to the required parking. The proposed architecture colors and materials is relatively the same as the previous submittal. The applicant is ready for this project to go forward to the Planning Commission now that the in-lieu parking fees have been established by the City

Council.

ACTION: The Committee recommended that the Planning Commission approve the project

as proposed.

FILE #: B 06-0061

APPLICATION: Review final details for new three story building

APPLICANT: Norton LOCATION: 811 12<sup>th</sup> Street

DISCUSSION: Staff presented the proposed construction drawings for the building along with

the Planning Commission approved plans. The architectural elevations in the construction set were an older version that did not show all of the details as indicated on the Planning Commission approved set. Staff had a verbal conversation with Ken Nagahara, who acknowledged the old plans and will be getting staff revised plans consistent with the Planning Commission approved

plans.

ACTION: Based on the revised plans being consistent with the Planning Commission

approved set, the DRC approved the construction drawings. Staff will insert the

revised plans in the current building set.

## Development Review Committee Meeting Minutes of December 11, 2006, Page 2

FILE #: PD Amendment

APPLICATION: Review request to amend conditions to allow 2-story homes on lots 1-7, 40 & 23

of Tract 2593

APPLICANT: City Initiated

Red Cloud and Navajo LOCATION:

Staff presented some grading exhibits along with conceptual plans that show how DISCUSSION:

> a two story home would be the best design for the hillside lots. The two-story home would appear one story from the street, but would have a second floor underneath the main floor. This design would be the best was to get the most square footage of the home without a significant amount of grading. This approach would only grade (remove dirt) in order to construct the walls for the second floor and an area for a 10-foot rear yard. This design would best meet the goals of the grading ordinance, since there would not be any visible slopes.

> The DRC did discuss visual impacts to the adjacent neighbors to the rear (homes on Bolen Drive). While the original intent was to lessen the impact to their homes by constructed two story homes, although the proposed design would be two-story, it would keep the home closer to Red Cloud Road, which would keep

the homes further away from the Bolen Drive homes.

No action was taken, this item will be reviewed at the Planning Commission ACTION:

meeting on January 9, 2007, where the neighborhood will be notified of the

meeting.

FILE #: Plot Plan Review

APPLICATION: Review request for 2-story home on hillside lot.

James Glass APPLICANT:

LOCATION: 727 Red Cloud (Lot 5, Tract 2593)

Staff presented the proposed two-story house. Lot 5 is one of the lots that was DISCUSSION:

discussed in the previous item. This type of home would seem to be the best

design for the hillside lots.

No action was taken, until the amendment to Tract 2593 is approved by the **ACTION:** 

Commission to eliminate the condition that prohibits 2-story homes on lots 1-7.

FILE #: PD 06-015/Rezone 06-003

Mixed-Use project, rezone to conform to General Plan designation APPLICATION:

Sundance Enterprises/Bob Clouston APPLICANT:

1028 21st Street LOCATION:

This item was deferred to a future DRC meeting. **ACTION:** 

FILE #: PD 00-016 Amendment

APPLICATION: Request to omit requirement to add 11 additional parking spaces.

APPLICANT: Oak Creek Commons LOCATION: Nicklaus Drive

Staff discussed the original condition of PD 00-016 regarding the parking spaces. DISCUSSION: **ACTION:** 

No action was taken, the item will be scheduled before the Planning Commission

on January 9, 2007.

## Development Review Committee Meeting Minutes of December 11, 2006, Page 3

FILE #: Site Plan Review

APPLICATION: Request to construct solar panels on roof of existing carports.

APPLICANT: Oak Creek Commons LOCATION: Nicklaus Drive

ACTION: The Committee approved the solar panels to be constructed on the roofs of the

existing carports.

FILE #: CUP 06-008

APPLICATION: Request to construct highway oriented sign. Review photos of sign mock-up.

APPLICANT: Taco Bell LOCATION: 1107 24<sup>th</sup> Street

DISCUSSION: Staff presented the proposed plans along with the recently submitted photo

simulations of the sign.

ACTION: No action was taken, the CUP will be scheduled to go before the Planning

Commission in the near future. Issues of whether additional highway oriented

signs would meet Gateway Standards.

FILE #: PD 06-009

APPLICATION: Mixed use project (restaurant/office/residential)

APPLICANT: Chris Madson LOCATION: 745 Park Street

ACTION: This item was postponed to the December 19<sup>th</sup> DRC meeting.

Adjournment to December 12, 2006, at 7:30 PM

# Development Review Committee Meeting Minutes of December 11, 2006, Page 4 Planning Related Appeal Process

Decisions or determinations by the City's staff, Development Review Committee (DRC) or Planning Commission can be appealed by any interested person (applicant, neighbor, public official, or other interested party). The appeal process is described by Chapter 21.23.A of the City's Municipal Code, and is summarized as follows:

- a. An appeal of a staff determination or interpretation will be heard by the Planning Commission. There is no charge to the appealing party.
- b. The DRC is a subcommittee of the Planning Commission. Their role is to review design details as outlined in Chapter 21.23 B of the Municipal Code. Any decision, determination or recommendation of the DRC may be appealed to the full Planning Commission. There is no charge to the appealing party. In the absence of an appeal to the City Council, the Planning Commission is the final authority on Lot Line Adjustments, Parcel and Subdivision Maps, Conditional Use Permits, Planned Developments, design details and Zoning Code interpretations. Decisions and determinations by the Planning Commission are appeal able to the City Council. Appeals to the City Council require a \$200 fee deposit as adopted by City Council Resolution No. 03-66 toward covering the City's processing costs, including required public notice(s).

## When must an appeal be filed?

An appeal must be filed within fifteen (15) calendar days of the decision. Upon receipt of an appeal, the matter will be scheduled for consideration before the appeal body as soon as feasible. Appeal applications can be obtained from the City's website at <a href="www.prcity.com">www.prcity.com</a>, anyone in the Community Development Department or by calling (805) 237-3970.

## What is the effect of filing an appeal?

If an appeal is filed, the body considering the appeal will consider the request as if it were a new application. Opportunities will be provided for all interested parties to speak and/or provide evidence to support approval or denial of the appeal.

There is no penalty for filing an appeal. The City cannot and will not penalize anyone for filing an appeal. Each application for a land use or other entitlement is handled on a "first-come-first-served" basis. (The City keeps a "log" of applications filed, and any of the public is welcome to confirm the order of processing for each application.)

## How Can I Get More Information on Appeals?

Please check the City's website at <a href="www.prcity.com">www.prcity.com</a>, call the Community Development Department at (805) 237-3970, or stop by City Hall at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446.

#### **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES**

3:30 PM Tuesday - December 19, 2006

Meeting Location: The Development Review Committee will meet at the Large Conference Room on

the second floor of City Hall, at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California.

**Commissioners present: Mike Menath, Christy Withers** 

Staff present: Darren Nash

Applicants and others present: Gary Nemeth, Jim Dummit, Chris Madson

FILE #: PD 06-015/Rezone 06-003

APPLICATION: Mixed-Use project, rezone to conform to General Plan designation

APPLICANT: Sundance Enterprises/Bob Clouston

LOCATION: 1028 21<sup>st</sup> Street

ACTION: This item was not discussed at this meeting, it will be scheduled for a future DRC

meeting.

FILE #: PD 06-009

APPLICATION: Mixed use project (restaurant/office/residential)

APPLICANT: Chris Madson LOCATION: 745 Park Street

DISCUSSION: Jim Dummit and Chris Madson presented the revised plans for the project.

Changes were made to colors and materials as requested previously by the DRC.

ACTION: The Committee recommended that the Planning Commission approve the project,

although there were still some concerns with the building elevation on the alley and the lack of that would help break up the large expanse of flat wall. There were concerns regarding the potential for graffiti on the wall. This issue will need to be discussed further at the Planning Commission level. The DRC also requested that the color boards be revised to show all the revised colors and

materials.

## THE DRC UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED ADDING THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

FILE #: PD 00-020

APPLICATION: Request to amend landscape plan to substitute 15-gallon shrubs with 5-gallon

shrubs.

APPLICANT: Rob Thovsky LOCATION: Ramada Drive

ACTION: The Committee approved the applicants request to use 5-gallon shrubs rather

than 15-gallon as indicated on the plans. The use of 5-gallon shrubs would be

consistent with what is typically required for projects in the City.

FILE #: PD 98-014

APPLICATION: Review architectural elevations for 3,500 square foot expansion to existing

building (Phase II).

APPLICANT: San Luis Bay Motors/Sawyer Construction

LOCATION: 2700 Theatre Drive

ACTION: The Committee reviewed the plans for the 3,500 square foot expansion. The

Committee concluded that additional architectural elements such as an awning similar to the front elevation should be placed on the south elevation of the Development Review Committee Meeting Agenda of December 11, 2006, Page 2 expansion. It was discussed that landscaping could help as well. The Committee requested that the applicant bring the plans back to the DRC for review with the necessary changes.

Adjournment to January 8, 2007, at 3:30 PM